

# The Syncope Stopper Study

Comparison of upfront pacing with standard care for high-risk patients with unexplained syncope.

## Background

Up to 50% of patients presenting to hospital with syncope will be discharged without a clear diagnosis. Better risk profiling may allow prediction of patients with unexplained syncope who will benefit from a pacemaker, which could prevent further syncope and injuries.

## Study design

Patients with unexplained syncope and a high DROP score ( $\geq 2$ ) will be randomised 1:1 to either receive an upfront permanent pacemaker or standard care.

The DROP score	
<b>D</b>	Distal conduction disease (any of RBBB, LAFB, LPFB and LBBB)
<b>R</b>	Related historical precipitating factors <u>absent</u> (e.g. overheating, posture, exercise)
<b>O</b>	Older age ( $>65$ years)
<b>P</b>	Prolonged PR interval ( $>200$ ms)

\*1 point for each, score 0-4.  $\geq 2$  implies high risk.

## Population:

We aim to recruit 200 participants from Alfred Health, Cabrini Health, The Royal Melbourne Hospital, and Western Health.

## Inclusion criteria:

- At least one 'unexplained' syncopal episode in the past 12 months
- $\geq 55$  years old
- DROP score  $\geq 2$

## Exclusion criteria

- Likely neurocardiogenic or vasovagal syncope
- LV ejection fraction  $<40\%$
- Previous PPM, ICD, or ILR implant
- Existing Class I indication for PPM/ICD implant
- Contraindication to transvenous pacemaker
- Comorbidity precluding 12 months follow-up

## Intervention

- Treatment group (n=100): Pacemaker implantation within 1 month of enrolment
- Control group (n=100): standard care. May include Holter monitoring or ILR.

## Follow-up

Enrolled patients will be followed up for 12 months. A study visit will be completed every 3 months to review clinical progress and perform a rhythm assessment (e.g. PPM interrogation, ECG, Holter, as appropriate).

## Outcomes

The primary outcome will be a composite of

- Cardiovascular death
- Recurrent syncope
- Bradycardia resulting in pacemaker implantation
- Device-related complications

Secondary outcomes will also include

- Recurrent cardiovascular hospitalisation
- All-cause mortality
- Quality of life and syncope symptom score
- Total length of hospital admission
- Cost to healthcare system
- Need for PPM implant (control group)
- Prevalence of tachy/bradyarrhythmia
- Percent pacing burden (PPM group)

## Our hypothesis

Early pacemaker implantation (a '**Syncope-Stopper**') is the safest and most cost-effective strategy for managing high-risk patients with unexplained syncope, with the novel DROP score able to identify patients at highest risk of bradycardia.

## Research team

A/Prof Alex Voskoboinik: Principal investigator  
Dr Jeremy William: Associate investigator

Any Questions? Contact Alex Voskoboinik  
0422 130 812 or [a.voskoboinik@alfred.org.au](mailto:a.voskoboinik@alfred.org.au)