

A Phase 1/2 Study of Surzebiclimab in Combination with Tislelizumab With or Without LBL-007 in Advanced Solid Tumors

Wells Messersmith, Tarek Meniawy, Siddharth Sheth, Sun Min Lim, Gary Richardson, Bryan Chan, Jessica Bauman, Daruka Mahadevan, Phillip Parente, Varinder Kaur, Abhijit Pal, Christophe Le Tourneau, Byoung Yong Shim, Yongbo An, Jola Mehmeti, Vivian Li, Xiangyu Liu, Yiran He, Jinhui Zhang, Jayesh Desai

Background

Despite the substantial clinical benefit of anti-programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) and anti-programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) monoclonal antibodies for patients, an unmet need remains to address resistance to these treatments. This phase 1/2 trial investigated the efficacy and safety of surzebiclimab (anti-T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 [TIM-3]), tislelizumab (anti-PD-1) and LBL-007 (anti-lymphocyte activation gene-3 [LAG-3]) combinations in patients with advanced solid tumors.

Methods

In this phase 1/2 trial, patients with solid tumors received surzebiclimab plus tislelizumab in the phase 1 dose escalation and tislelizumab plus LBL-007 with or without surzebiclimab in the phase 2 safety lead-in, and patients with head and neck squamous cell cancer (HNSCC) or non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) received surzebiclimab plus tislelizumab with LBL-007 (Cohort 4 [HNSCC] and Cohort 5 [NSCLC]) or without LBL-007 (Cohort 1 [HNSCC] and Cohort 2 [NSCLC]) (NCT03744468). Primary endpoints included treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) and the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) for phase 1 and the phase 2 safety lead-in, and objective response rate (ORR) per RECIST v1.1 for the phase 2 dose expansion.

Results

A total of 147 patients were enrolled (phase 1: n=33; phase 2 safety lead-in: n=31; phase 2 dose expansion: n=83). One DLT was reported in phase 1. MTD was not reached for surzebiclimab in phase 1 or for LBL-007 in the phase 2 safety lead-in. Grade ≥3 treatment-related TEAEs occurred in 9.1% (3/33), 16.1% (5/31), and 10.8% (9/83) of patients in phase 1, phase 2 safety lead-in, and phase 2 dose expansion, respectively. Antitumor activity was observed in the phase 2 dose expansion cohorts, with confirmed ORRs (95% confidence interval [CI]) of 4.8% (0.1-23.8), 9.1% (1.1-29.2), 0 (0-16.8), and 10.5% (1.3-33.1%) in Cohorts 1, 2, 4, and 5, respectively. Biomarker analysis showed that patients who responded or had stable disease tended to have higher baseline expression of PD-L1, TIM-3, or LAG-3.

	Cohort 1: HNSCC Surzebiclimab + tislelizumab (N = 21)	Cohort 2: NSCLC Surzebiclimab + tislelizumab (N = 22)	Cohort 4: HNSCC Surzebiclimab + tislelizumab + LBL- 007 (N = 20)	Cohort 5: NSCLC Surzebiclimab + tislelizumab + LBL- 007 (N = 20)
Patients with any TEAE, n (%)	20 (95.2)	21 (95.5)	20 (100.0)	20 (100.0)
Grade ≥3	11 (52.4)	11 (50.0)	9 (45.0)	9 (45.0)
Serious	9 (42.9)	8 (36.4)	6 (30.0)	9 (45.0)
Leading to death	3 (14.3)	1 (4.5)	0 (0.0)	4 (20.0)
Leading to treatment discontinuation	1 (4.8)	4 (18.2)	2 (10.0)	4 (20.0)
Patients with any treatment-related TEAE, n (%)	16 (76.2)	10 (45.5)	11 (55.0)	16 (80.0)
Grade ≥3	4 (19.0)	4 (18.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)
Serious	2 (9.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)
Leading to death	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Patients with IRRs, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

	Cohort 1: HNSCC Surzebiclimab + tislelizumab (N = 21)	Cohort 2: NSCLC Surzebiclimab + tislelizumab (N = 22)	Cohort 4: HNSCC Surzebiclimab + tislelizumab + LBL- 007 (N = 20)	Cohort 5: NSCLC Surzebiclimab + tislelizumab + LBL- 007 (N = 20)
ORR, n (%)	1 (4.8)	2 (9.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (10.5)
95% CI, % ^a	0.1-23.8	1.1-29.2	0.0-16.8	1.3-33.1
Best overall response, n (%)				
CR	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)
PR	1 (4.8)	2 (9.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)
SD	8 (38.1)	8 (36.4)	9 (45.0)	12 (63.2)
PD	11 (52.4)	11 (50.0)	9 (45.0)	4 (21.1)
NE	1 (4.8)	1 (4.5)	2 (10.0)	1 (5.3)
DCR, n (%)	9 (42.9)	10 (45.5)	9 (45.0)	14 (73.7)
95% CI, % ^a	21.8-66.0	24.4-67.8	23.1-68.5	48.8-90.9
Median DoR (95% CI), months ^b	4.0 (NE-NE)	6.9 (5.6-NE)	NE (NE-NE)	NE (2.8-NE)

Conclusion

Surzebiclimab plus tislelizumab with or without LBL-007 was generally well tolerated in patients with previously treated advanced solid tumors. The observed antitumor activity was modest in patients with anti-PD-1/PD-L1-treated HNSCC and NSCLC. Further research to optimize combination strategies and identify predictive biomarkers is needed.